

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/608,708	HAMMARLUND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeremy S. Cerullo	2111

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Jeremy S. Cerullo.

(3) _____.

(2) Aslam Jaffery.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2006

Time: 1:45 pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 101 rejections of Claims 22, 23, and 25

Claims discussed:

22, 23, and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



MARK H. RINEHART

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to suggest an Examiner's Amendment to amend Claims 22, 23, and 25 to overcome the rejection under 25 USC 101. After discussing the amendment with the applicant, the applicant's representative agreed to the proposed amendment.